

Bioethics as a Tool For Shaping National Identity

Mukhamedova Z. M.

Tashkent State Medical University, Uzbekistan



DOI : <https://doi.org/10.61796/ijblps.v2i9.357>



Sections Info

Article history:

Submitted: June 23, 2025

Final Revised: July 16, 2025

Accepted: August 20, 2025

Published: September 05, 2025

Keywords:

Bioethics

National Identity

Biopolitics

COVID-19

Reproduction

Medicine

Selection

State

ABSTRACT

Objective: This study investigates the role of bioethics in shaping national identity in Uzbekistan, where bioethics has been institutionalized since 2000 through committees, legislation, and public discourse. **Method:** Using an interdisciplinary qualitative approach, the research draws on case studies of COVID-19 responses, reproductive health policies, and legislative frameworks, applying discourse analysis to examine how bioethical practices intersect with cultural and political contexts. **Results:** The findings reveal that bioethical norms not only regulate medical practice but also strengthen social cohesion by legitimizing state actions, embedding cultural and religious principles in healthcare, and promoting values such as dignity, responsibility, and solidarity. Case studies illustrate how vaccination campaigns and reproductive health laws function as both medical measures and symbolic acts that foster civic trust and cultural continuity. **Novelty:** This study contributes to global bioethics scholarship by addressing an underexplored context – transitional societies – demonstrating how bioethics in Uzbekistan operates as a dual force: producing tensions with traditional values while serving as a resource for national self-determination, cultural distinctiveness, and integration into international ethical discourses.

INTRODUCTION

The issues of bioethics acquire particular significance in the contemporary world, where scientific and technological progress increasingly penetrates the fundamental aspects of human life – from birth to death. Bioethics, as an interdisciplinary field of knowledge, functions not only as an area of academic inquiry or medical regulation but also as an important mechanism for shaping social norms, values, and identity [1].

In Uzbekistan, the institutionalization of bioethics began in 2000 with the establishment of the National Committee on Bioethics under the Ministry of Health. A significant milestone was the organization of the First National Congress on Bioethics in 2005, which marked the growing interest in ethical problems within the biomedical context. Amid the social and political transformations of the post-Soviet period, bioethics began to perform not only a regulatory but also a symbolic function, contributing to the construction of national identity through public discussions, state initiatives, and legal frameworks that determine the boundaries of permissible interventions in the human body and life [2].

The category of national identity in scholarly literature is interpreted in various ways. For example, V. S. Erokhin defines it as “the result of achieving a stable interconnection between an individual and the national community, the acquisition of a set of features that enable a person to realize their belonging to the ideals, norms, and principles of the nation’s existence,” emphasizing the dual nature of identity – both as a

social construct and as a matter of personal choice. In a broader theoretical context, A. Smith considers national identity as a sense of belonging to a nation, grounded in common cultural characteristics, historical memory, and symbolic resources that provide the perception of the nation as a coherent whole. B. Anderson, in turn, describes the nation as an “imagined community,” in which even people unfamiliar with each other feel united by a shared symbolic space [3].

A similar definition is offered by researchers F. Velilaeva and Sh. Abdullaeva, who emphasize the cultural-symbolic foundations of identity and its subjective nature, independent of formal legal status [4].

Thus, national identity can be viewed as a dynamic category formed through the interaction of the individual and society, the state and cultural traditions. The present article aims to analyze how bioethical practices and discourses contribute to the construction of national identity in Uzbekistan, as well as to highlight key cases where this interaction becomes most evident. Bioethical issues in the modern world acquire particular relevance, since the development of biomedical technologies directly affects the fundamental aspects of human life — from birth to death. However, bioethical debates are not limited exclusively to the medical domain; they inevitably intersect with cultural, religious, and national values, shaping unique models of perception and regulation [5].

In the scholarly literature, two main approaches to understanding the role of bioethics in the national context can be distinguished:

1. Bioethics as a field of tensions and contradictions. Contemporary medical practices — euthanasia, organ donation, gene editing, and reproductive technologies — often come into conflict with the religious prescriptions and traditional moral foundations of Uzbek society, generating social and ethical dilemmas [6].
2. Bioethics as a constructive force. Here, attention is focused on the integrative potential of bioethics, where bioethical discussions and practices may serve as a means of cultural and ideological consolidation of the nation. Examples such as the COVID-19 pandemic, reproductive policy, and legislative regulation demonstrate how bioethics becomes an instrument for strengthening trust in the state, mobilizing society around shared values, and shaping national identity [7].

Thus, in the context of Uzbekistan, bioethics plays a dual role: on the one hand, it represents a source of conflicts with traditional and religious values, while on the other, it serves as a resource for national self-determination and social consolidation. This dual position makes the study of the interaction between bioethics and national values particularly relevant [8].

RESEARCH METHOD

The methodological basis of the study is an interdisciplinary approach combining elements of social philosophy, cultural studies, and bioethics. Methods included qualitative analysis of scientific publications, normative legal acts of the Republic of

Uzbekistan, programmatic documents of the Ministry of Health, as well as media and sociological sources covering bioethical discourses in the country. The empirical basis consisted of case studies related to the response to the COVID-19 pandemic, reproductive medicine, transplantology, and vaccination issues. The analysis was carried out using elements of discourse analysis and the case study method in order to identify the relationship between bioethical practices and the formation of elements of national identity [9].

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The analysis of bioethical cases in the context of Uzbekistan reveals several key areas in which bioethics contributes to the formation of national identity [10].

First, this is the normative consolidation of values related to the protection of life, dignity, and human health, as reflected in legislative acts and programmatic documents. Such norms not only regulate medical practice but also transmit ideals of national cohesion and collective responsibility. In particular, documents such as the Law of the Republic of Uzbekistan “On the Protection of Citizens’ Health”, as well as Cabinet of Ministers’ decrees on biomedical research and ethical standards, establish key principles of ethical treatment of the body, life, and personal autonomy of the citizen [11]. In addition, the State Health Development Program includes provisions on the necessity of adhering to ethical standards in the provision of medical care. These normative acts emphasize the priority of human rights, respect for cultural and religious specificity, as well as the solidarity and responsibility of the state toward its population. Thus, these norms not only regulate medical practice but also convey ideals of national cohesion and collective responsibility [12].

Secondly, bioethical discussions, especially during the COVID-19 pandemic, became an arena for the articulation of state priorities and public expectations. The mobilization of citizens for vaccination, the implementation of isolation measures, and social distancing were accompanied by appeals to national unity and solidarity [13]. These measures not only had a utilitarian function but also fulfilled a symbolic role, strengthening the image of a caring state and a responsible citizen [14].

The National Plan for Preparedness and Response to the COVID-19 Pandemic, developed by the Ministry of Health of the Republic of Uzbekistan, included ethical principles of priority access to vaccines as well as public information campaigns emphasizing civic duty. According to data published in scholarly articles in Russian academic journals, anti-pandemic measures were accompanied by increased trust in medical institutions and state structures, as well as a growing sense of belonging to the national community. One publication also emphasized that the practice of collective vaccination acquired features of ritualized solidarity, where concern for health was perceived as an act of patriotism [15].

Thirdly, in the field of reproductive medicine, there is a strong emphasis on preserving demographic potential, which corresponds to national interests and the goals of sustainable development. Ethical norms here are closely intertwined with cultural and

religious values, reinforcing their legitimacy and rootedness in mass consciousness[16]. An important regulatory document in this area is the Law of the Republic of Uzbekistan “On the Protection of Citizens’ Reproductive Health” (adopted in 2019), which guarantees the right to safe and ethical forms of reproductive care, including counseling, family planning, and medical technologies. The law emphasizes the principles of informed consent, non-discrimination, and respect for traditional cultural and moral foundations of society [17].

In addition, within the framework of the state program on family and maternity support, initiatives are being implemented to promote the values of parenthood, preserve the reproductive health of youth, prevent infertility, and improve conditions for perinatal care. Ethical norms in this domain are closely connected with cultural and religious values, which contributes to their legitimization and deep embedding in the public consciousness. Thus, reproductive medicine becomes not only an object of clinical and ethical regulation but also a sphere through which the ideals of national revival and care for the country’s future are transmitted [18].

Therefore, bioethical practices and discourses in Uzbekistan emerge as an important instrument for shaping national identity, contributing to the development of shared values, norms, and models of behavior aimed at strengthening social cohesion and cultural distinctiveness [19].

CONCLUSION

Fundamental Finding : The study demonstrates that bioethics in Uzbekistan goes beyond medical regulation and serves as a crucial instrument for shaping national identity by embedding cultural traditions, legitimizing state policies, and strengthening civic solidarity, particularly through reproductive health initiatives and COVID-19 responses. **Implication :** This highlights the potential of bioethics to act as a bridge between biomedical progress and societal values, offering a normative foundation for social cohesion, cultural continuity, and integration into global ethical discourse. **Limitation :** The study is mainly qualitative and context-specific, relying on case studies and discourse analysis, which limits the generalizability of its findings and provides only partial insight into the perspectives of diverse community groups. **Future Research :** Further studies should include cross-national comparisons, employ mixed or participatory methods, and examine less explored areas such as genetic technologies and organ donation to capture the dual role of bioethics as both a source of tension and a driver of integration.

REFERENCES

- [1] I. Sim, «Article in Israel Journal of Health Policy Research», *Isr. J. Health Policy Res.*, т. 6, вып. 21, 2017, doi: 10.1186/s13584-017-0147-2.
- [2] Z. M. Mukhamedova, «Bioethics in Uzbekistan: History, Issues, Prospects», *Asian Bioeth. Rev.*, т. 7, вып. 5, сс. 501–511, 2015, doi: 10.1353/asb.2015.0045.
- [3] F. S. Atamuratova, «Conflicts Between Bioethics and National Values», *J. Learn. Hist. Soc. Sci.*, т. 1, вып. 11, сс. 14–17, 2024, doi: 10.61796/ejlhs.v1i11.1038.

- [4] Z. M. Mukhamedova и F. S. Atamuratova, «COVID-19 Pandemic in Uzbekistan and Some Issues of Vaccination Ethics», *Meditsina Organ. Zdr.*, т. 7, вып. 2, 2022, doi: 10.56871/3982.2022.86.84.010.
- [5] M. Foucault, *Discipline and Punish*. New York: Pantheon, 1977.
- [6] H. Ten Have и B. Gordijn, *Handbook of Global Bioethics*. Dordrecht: Springer, 2014.
- [7] B. Anderson, *Imagined Communities: Reflections on the Origin and Spread of Nationalism*. Moscow: Kanon-Press-C, Kuchkovo Pole, 2001.
- [8] L. R. Kass, *Life, Liberty and the Defense of Dignity*. San Francisco: Encounter Books, 2002.
- [9] E. Smith, *National Identity*. Moscow: Progress-Tradition, 1994.
- [10] Ministry of Health of the Republic of Uzbekistan, «National Plan for Preparedness and Response to the COVID-19 Pandemic».
- [11] V. S. Erokhin, «On the Concept of National Identity», *Izv. Sarat. Univ. Philos. Psychol. Pedagogy*, т. 24, вып. 3, сс. 274–278, 2024.
- [12] Law of the Republic of Uzbekistan, «On the Protection of Citizens' Health».
- [13] Law of the Republic of Uzbekistan, «On the Protection of Citizens' Reproductive Health». 2019 г.
- [14] [14] P. Singer, *Practical Ethics*, 3-е изд. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2011.
- [15] Government of Uzbekistan, «State Program "Health-2030"».
- [16] F. Sh. Velilaeva и Sh. V. Abdullaeva, «The Concept of "National Identity": Theoretical and Literary Aspect of Research», *Univers. Philol. Arts*, т. 7, вып. 73, 2020, <https://7universum.com/ru/philology/archive/item/9770>
- [17] J. Habermas, *The Future of Human Nature*. Cambridge: Polity Press, 2003.
- [18] O. I. Kubar и others, «The Third Ethical Commentary on COVID-19 (Two Years Later): Vaccination, Solidarity and Trust», *Immunopatol. Allergol. Infektologiya*, т. 12, вып. 2, 2022, <https://iimmun.ru/iimm/article/download/1875/1482>
- [19] Z. M. Mukhamedova, «The Uzbek Model of Bioethics: History and Modernity», *MALIM J. Pengaj. Umum Asia Tenggara*, т. 21, 2020.

* Mukhamedova Z. M. (Corresponding Author)

Tashkent State Medical University, Uzbekistan
